

Dear Mark,

10/29/84

The enclosed FBI ME 149-121 may be of some use to you sometime if you had to address what the FBI considers relevant/irrelevant. In the King appeal they told the appeals court that it is among the irrelevant records given to me just to get me off their backs.

You've read that decision and its falsehood that everything relevant is filed under MURKIN and that I'd agreed to this when the opposite is clear in the case record.

The very day King announced publicly that he was returning to Memphis the FBI got a report that when he did "he will be assassinated." It notified everybody except King/his people/party. He was assassinated three days later. The Memphis office's first records on the assassination were designated for this file, which is only natural. Then, apparently, the FBI realized that this procedure would, on any search, automatically disclose that it had advance warning and did nothing. So, those first pages had the filing changed and that is when MURKIN was created. ~~MEMPHIS~~ As you can see, these are not in the or from the 44 MURKIN file.

Five days after the assassination Memphis prepared a duplicative FD302. And almost two months after receipt of the original threat that file was closed out "inasmuch as all the information furnished by the unknown person making the above mentioned call was untrue."

^This, to the FBI, it is "untrue" that when King returned to Memphis "He will be assassinated."

I'll be locating the first Memphis MURKIN records which were transferred to it from this file but a little refiling and the search for these pages was a little much and I won't be doing more of that today. This in the event you have any interest in them.

I read every MURKIN page, HQ and field office, without finding any reference to these pages. It was only when the judge forced the FBI to give me another of those allegedly "irrelevant" files, which included the inventories of all the field offices on MURKIN and the campaign against King, that I spotted it in the Memphis inventory. (Which was for the Office of Professional Responsibility's internal investigation the report of which makes no reference to this.)

It may at some time be of use to know that it is from my study of this inventory - and its glaring omissions - that I learned that the FBI hides its records relating to its surveillances as "admats," or "administrative matters," in its 66 files. Since then I've learned more about that and have additional records.

The inventory for the OPR, 400 pages long, does not refer to a single one of the multitudinous tapes of electronic surveillances of Dr. King et al. And the OPR and AG accepted that. Some "investigation," huh?

Best wishes,